

Also, when the Plaintiff recast his complaint as ordered by the Magistrate Judge (Doc. 5), he added “Clarence Johnson, Officer” as a Defendant. Doc. 6 at 1, 4. The Plaintiff’s claims against Johnson should go forward for factual development for the same reasons as the Magistrate Judge explained the claims against Defendant Moore should proceed. See *id.* at 8 (describing Moore and Johnson as violating the Plaintiff’s

Eighth Amendment rights together); see Doc. 9 at 6 (the Magistrate Judge concluding that, “[l]iberally construed and taken as true,” the Plaintiff’s allegations against Moore are sufficient to withstand screening). Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that service be made on Defendant Clarence Johnson and that he file an Answer or such other response as may be appropriate under Rule 12, 28 U.S.C. § 1915, and the Prison Litigation Reform Act.

SO ORDERED, this 8th day of January, 2018.

S/ Marc T. Treadwell
MARC T. TREADWELL, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT